

NEW SCALING OF ITZYKSON-ZUBER INTEGRALS

BENOÎT COLLINS AND PIOTR ŚNIADY

ABSTRACT. We study asymptotics of the Itzykson-Zuber integrals in the scaling when one of the matrices has a small rank compared to the full rank. We show that the result is basically the same as in the case when one of the matrices has a fixed rank. In this way we extend the recent results of Guionnet and Maïda who showed that for a latter scaling the Itzykson-Zuber integral is given in terms of the Voiculescu's R -transform of the full rank matrix.

1. INTRODUCTION AND THE MAIN RESULT

For diagonal matrices $A_N, B_N \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$ we consider the Itzykson-Zuber integral

$$I_N^{(\beta)}(A_N, B_N) = \int e^{N \operatorname{Tr} U A_N U^* B_N} d m_N^\beta(U),$$

where m_N^β denotes the Haar measure on the orthogonal group O_N when $\beta = 1$, on the unitary group U_N when $\beta = 2$, and on the symplectic group $Sp(N/2)$ when $\beta = 4$ (in the latter case, N is even). Usually one is interested in the study of the asymptotics of the Itzykson-Zuber integral as the size N of the matrices tends to infinity and for this reason one would like to have an insight into the limit

$$(1) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \log I_N^{(\beta)}(A_N, B_N) =: \tilde{I}^{(\beta)}(\mu_A, \mu_B)$$

when the spectral measures μ_{A_N}, μ_{B_N} converge weakly towards some probability measures on $\mathbb{R}$. Existence of the limit of (1) was proved by Guionnet and Zeitouni [GZ02].

In [Col03], the study of another scaling of Itzykson-Zuber integrals was initiated, namely when the rank M of the matrix A_N is small compared to the full rank N . In order to obtain a non-trivial limit one should consider rather the limit

$$(2) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{MN} \log I_N^{(\beta)}(A_N, B_N).$$

In this paper we shall say that a family of matrices $B_N \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$ *converges in distribution* if and only if for all integer k , $N^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}(B_N^k)$ tends towards a finite limit as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

The first author proved that if $A_N = \text{diag}(t, 0, 0, \dots)$ has rank one and spectral measures of B_N converge to some probability measure μ_B then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} \log I_N^{(2)}(A_N, B_N) \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{d^k}{dt^k} \int_0^t R_{\mu_B}(s) ds \Big|_{t=0}$$

The function R is called Voiculescu's R -transform and is of central importance in free probability theory. We recall its definition in Section 2.

In this paper, the following hypothesis and notation about the sequence of matrices $A_N \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$ will be used frequently.

Hypothesis 1. A_N is diagonal and it has rank $M(N) = o(N)$. Its non-zero eigenvalues are denoted by $a_{1,N} \geq \dots \geq a_{M,N}$.

Using the results presented in our previous article [CS04] it is possible to prove that the following quite general statement holds true:

Theorem 2. Assume that B_N has a limiting distribution μ_B and that A_N is uniformly bounded and satisfies Hypothesis 1. Then for $\beta = 1$ and 2,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} \frac{1}{NM(N)} \log I_N^{(\beta)}(tA_N, B_N) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{\beta}{2M(N)} \sum_{i=1}^{M(N)} \frac{d^k}{dt^k} \int_0^{\frac{2t}{\beta}} R_{\mu}(ta_{i,N}) ds \right|_{t=0} = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

If $\beta = 4$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} \frac{1}{NM(N)} \log I_N^{(\beta)}(tA_N, B_N) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{M(N)} \sum_{i=1}^{M(N)} \frac{d^k}{dt^k} \int_0^t R_{\mu}(-ta_{i,N}) ds \right|_{t=0} = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that it is convenient to separate the case $\beta = 4$ from the cases $\beta = 1, 2$.

We shall not prove this theorem since it is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.5 of [CS04]. On the other hand, it has been proved recently in Theorem 7 of [GM05], that if the L^∞ -norm of A_N is bounded by a constant depending on B_N (see Theorem 4 in this paper), under Hypothesis 3 for B_N , and provided that $M(N) = O(N^{1/2-\varepsilon})$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, one has for $\beta = 1, 2$:

(3)

$$\left| \frac{1}{NM(N)} \log I_N^{(\beta)}(A_N, B_N) - \frac{\beta}{2M(N)} \sum_{i=1}^{M(N)} \int_0^{\frac{2t}{\beta}} R_{\mu}(sa_{i,N}) ds \right| = o(1).$$

The main result of this paper is Theorem 5. It shows that Equation (3) still holds true if one replaces restriction $M(N) = O(N^{1/2-\varepsilon})$ by Hypothesis 1, and that it is enough to assume that the L^∞ -norm of A_N is uniformly bounded by any constant, independently on B_N .

The techniques used in this paper are elementary, and substantially simplify the proofs of [GM05]. Better, it becomes possible to express the R-transform as a limit Guionnet-Zeitouni's limits obtained in [GZ02].

We make the following hypothesis on the family of matrices $(B_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $B_N \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$:

Hypothesis 3. *Let $b_{1,N} \geq \dots \geq b_{N,N}$ be the real eigenvalues of the diagonal matrix B_N and assume that the measures $N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{b_{i,N}}$ converge weakly towards a compactly supported probability measure μ_B and that $b_{1,N}$ (resp. $b_{N,N}$) converge towards the upper bound $\lambda_{\max}$ (resp. the lower bound $\lambda_{\min}$) of the support of μ_B .*

The Harisch-Chandra formula in the case when $\beta = 2$ and when the eigenvalues have no multiplicity tells that

$$I_N^{(2)}(A_N, B_N) = \frac{\det(e^{N \cdot a_{iN} b_{jN}})_{i,j=1}^N}{\Delta(a_{iN}) \Delta(b_{iN})}$$

where Δ denotes Van der Monde determinant. In particular it implies that the value of $I_N^{(2)}$ depends only on the eigenvalues of A_N, B_N therefore the assumption that A_N, B_N are diagonal can be removed in the case $\beta = 2$. However a priori it cannot be removed in the other cases (although it is an open question to the authors' knowledge).

We recall some definitions that can be found in [GM05]. For a probability measure μ_B , call H_{μ_B} its *Hilbert transform*

$$H_{\mu_B}(z) = \frac{1}{z - \lambda} d\mu_B(\lambda).$$

It is invertible under composition in a complex neighbourhood of infinity; and let us call $z^{-1} + R_{\mu_B}$ its inverse. R_{μ_B} is called the *R-transform* of Voiculescu.

Let $H_{\min} = \lim_{z \uparrow \lambda_{\min}} H_{\mu_B}(z)$ and $H_{\max} = \lim_{z \downarrow \lambda_{\max}} H_{\mu_B}(z)$.

Definition. For $\beta \in \{1, 2, 4\}$, a probability measure μ_B and a real parameter t we define $f_{\mu_B}^{(\beta)}(t)$ as follows.

If $\beta = 1, 2$, the function is

$$f_{\mu_B}^{(\beta)}(t) = tv(t) - \frac{\beta}{2} \int \log \left(1 + \frac{2}{\beta} tv(t) - \frac{2}{\beta} t\lambda \right) d\mu_E(\lambda)$$

with

$$v(t) = \begin{cases} R_{\mu_B}(\frac{2}{\beta}t) & \text{if } H_{\min} \leq \frac{2t}{\beta} \leq H_{\max}, \\ \lambda_{\max} - \frac{\beta}{2t} & \text{if } \frac{2t}{\beta} > H_{\max}, \\ \lambda_{\min} - \frac{\beta}{2t} & \text{if } \frac{2t}{\beta} < H_{\min}. \end{cases}$$

Observe that in the particular case $t \in [H_{\min}, H_{\max}]$,

$$f_{\mu_B}^{(\beta)}(t) = \int_0^{\frac{2t}{\beta}} R_{\mu}(st) ds.$$

In the case $\beta = 4$ the function is defined by

$$f_{\mu_B}^{(4)}(t) = -f_{\mu_B}^{(2)}(-t).$$

One can prove that this function is continuous with respect to t and also with respect to the metric on the measures given by

$$d(\mu, \mu') = |\lambda_{\max} - \lambda'_{\max}| + |\lambda_{\min} - \lambda'_{\min}| + \sup \left\{ \int f(d\mu - d\mu'), \right. \\ \left. \|f\|_{\infty} = 1 \text{ and } f \text{ of Lipschitz constant } \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Theorem 4 ([GM05], Theorem 1.2 and 1.6). *Assume that the family of matrices B_N satisfies Hypothesis 3. For $\beta = 1, 2$, for any real number t ,*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} \log I_N(B_N, \text{diag}(t, 0, \dots, 0)) = f_{\mu_B}^{(\beta)}(t).$$

Note that although Guionnet and Maïda in [GM05] do not handle the case $\beta = 4$, it is easy to extend their results to this case and we will take these results for granted. We shall prove:

Theorem 5. *Let $\beta \in \{1, 2, 4\}$.*

- *Let A_N be a sequence of matrices satisfying Hypothesis 1. Assume that B_N satisfies Hypothesis 3. Assume furthermore that $\sup \|A_N\| < \infty$ and $\beta = 1, 2, 4$. Then*

$$\left| \frac{1}{NM(N)} \log I_N^{(\beta)}(A_N, B_N) - \frac{\beta}{2M(N)} \sum_{i=1}^{M(N)} f_{\mu_B}^{(\beta)}(a_{i,N}) \right| = o(1).$$

- *Let μ, ν be compactly supported real probability measures. Assume that μ has a connected support $[\lambda_{\min}, \lambda_{\max}]$, and that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$c \cdot dx|_{[\lambda_{\min}, \lambda_{\max}]} \leq \mu.$$

For $a \in [0, 1]$, let $\nu_a = a\nu + (1-a)\delta_0$. Then

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} a^{-1} \tilde{I}^{(\beta)}(\nu_a, \mu) = \int_{t \in \mathbb{R}} f_{\mu}^{(\beta)}(t) d\nu(t).$$

The next section is devoted to proving this theorem.

2. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

$I_N^{(\beta)}$ satisfies the following obvious translation invariance property:

$$(4) \quad I_N^{(\beta)}(A, B) = e^{N^2 x} I_N^{(\beta)}(A + x \text{Id}, B),$$

therefore there is no loss of generality in assuming that B_N is positive.

We make temporarily the following assumption

Hypothesis 6. *There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$b_{i+1,N} + c/N \geq b_{i,N}.$$

This implies that the distribution of μ_B has absolutely continuous part with respect to Lebesgue measure whose density is $\geq c$ almost everywhere on its support, and the support should be an interval.

We shall need the following technical result:

Lemma 7. *Let $B \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$ be Hermitian, with eigenvalues $b_1 \geq \dots \geq b_d \geq 0$. Let Π be a projector of rank $N - 1$ and $B' = \Pi B \Pi$ and let $b'_1 \geq \dots \geq b'_d = 0$ its eigenvalues. Then one has*

$$b_1 \geq b'_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots \geq b_d \geq b'_d = 0.$$

Proof. Let V be the set of Hermitian projections of rank $n + 1 - i$ and V' be the subset of projections of V dominating $1 - \Pi$. According to the “minimax” theorem, $b_i = \min_{\pi \in V} \|\pi B \pi\|$, and $b'_{i-1} = \min_{\pi \in V'} \|\pi B \pi\|$. Since $V' \subset V$ this implies that $b'_{i+1} \geq b_i$. Replacing B by $\|B\| \text{Id} - B$ shows that $b_i \geq b'_i$, which concludes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 8. *Let $A, A', B \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$. If $A \geq A'$ and $B \geq 0$ then*

$$I_N^{(\beta)}(A, B) \geq I_N^{(\beta)}(A', B).$$

Proof. Observe that if $A, B \geq 0$ then $\text{Tr} AB \geq 0$. Therefore $\text{Tr} AUBU^* \geq \text{Tr} A'UBU^* \geq 0$, which implies $\exp(N \text{Tr} AUBU^*) \geq \exp(N \text{Tr} A'UBU^*)$. Integrating over the Haar measure on the unitary group yields the desired result. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 5. In the case $\beta = 2$, we identify U_{N-1} with a subgroup of U_N via the morphism

$$U_{N-1} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & U_{N-1} \end{pmatrix} \subset U_N.$$

In the case $\beta = 1$ we consider instead the embedding of O_{N-1} into O_N

$$O_{N-1} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & O_{N-1} \end{pmatrix} \subset O_N,$$

and in the case $\beta = 4$, the embedding SP_{N-1} into SP_N

$$SP_{N-1} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & SP_{N-1} \end{pmatrix} \subset SP_N.$$

We shall only deal the case $\beta = 2$, the cases $\beta = 1, 4$ being similar.

Through the above identification, and using the invariance of Haar measure by convolution, we have

$$(5) \quad I_N^{(\beta)}(A, B) = \int_{U \in U_N} \int_{V \in U_{N-1}} \exp(N \text{Tr} A V U B U^* V^*) d\mathbf{m}_N^\beta(U) d\mathbf{m}_{N-1}^\beta(V).$$

In the remainder of the proof, N is fixed, so in order to lighten the notation we omit the subscript N for the matrices A_N and B_N . Since a unitary conjugation of A by a unitary leaves $I_N^{(\beta)}(A, B)$ invariant, one can assume without loss of generality that

$$A = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_N) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_- \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_+ & 0 \\ 0 & a_N \end{pmatrix}$$

with $a_i \geq a_j$ if $i < j$. We shall also adopt the following notation:

$$\tilde{B} = U B U^* = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b}_{11} & \tilde{B}_{1-} \\ \tilde{B}_{-1} & \tilde{B}_{--} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Observe that $\tilde{B}_{--} \in M_{N-1}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\tilde{b}_{11} \in \mathbb{C}$ are random matrix depending on the matrix random variable U . With these notations we have

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad I_N^{(\beta)}(A_N, B_N) &= \int_{U \in U_N} \int_{V \in U_{N-1}} \exp(N \text{Tr} A_N V \tilde{B} V^*) d\mathbf{m}_N^\beta(U) d\mathbf{m}_{N-1}^\beta(V) \\ &= \int_{U \in U_N} \int_{V \in U_{N-1}} \exp(N a_1 \tilde{b}_{11} + N \text{Tr} A_- V \tilde{B}_{--} V^*) d\mathbf{m}_N^\beta(U) d\mathbf{m}_{N-1}^\beta(V) \\ &= \int_{U \in U_N} \exp(N a_1 \tilde{b}_{11}) \int_{V \in U_{N-1}} \exp(N \text{Tr} A_- V \tilde{B}_{--} V^*) d\mathbf{m}_N^\beta(U) d\mathbf{m}_{N-1}^\beta(V) \\ &= \int_{U \in U_N} \exp(N a_1 \tilde{b}_{11}) I_{N-1}^{(\beta)}\left(\frac{N}{N-1} A_-, \tilde{B}_{--}\right) d\mathbf{m}_N^\beta(U). \end{aligned}$$

Let $B^{+,i} = \text{diag}(b_1, \dots, b_{N-i})$ and $B^{-,i} = \text{diag}(b_i, \dots, b_N)$. By Lemma 7 and Lemma 8, one has

$$(7) \quad I_{N-1}^{(\beta)}\left(\frac{N}{N-1}A_{-}, B^{-,1}\right) \leq \int_{U \in U_N} I_{N-1}^{(\beta)}\left(\frac{N}{N-1}A_{-}, \tilde{B}_{--}\right) \\ \leq I_{N-1}^{(\beta)}\left(\frac{N}{N-1}A_{-}, B^{+,1}\right).$$

Inequality (7) applied to the last line of Equation (6) implies that

$$(8) \quad I_{N-1}^{(\beta)}\left(\frac{N}{N-1}A_{-}, B^{-,1}\right) \int_{U \in U_N} \exp(N\alpha_1 \tilde{b}_{11}) d\mathbf{m}_N^{\beta}(U) \\ \leq \int_{U \in U_N} \exp(N\alpha_1 \tilde{b}_{11}) I_{N-1}^{(\beta)}\left(\frac{N}{N-1}A_{-}, \tilde{B}_{--}\right) d\mathbf{m}_N^{\beta}(U) \\ \leq I_{N-1}^{(\beta)}\left(\frac{N}{N-1}A_{-}, B^{+,1}\right) \int_{U \in U_N} \exp(N\alpha_1 \tilde{b}_{11}) d\mathbf{m}_N^{\beta}(U)$$

In addition, it is obvious that

$$\int_{U \in U_N} \exp(N\alpha_1 \tilde{b}_{11}) d\mathbf{m}_N^{\beta}(U) = I_N^{(\beta)}(\text{diag}(\alpha_1, 0, \dots, 0), B_N).$$

Thus, inequality (8) and equation (6) imply

$$(9) \quad I_{N-1}^{(\beta)}\left(\frac{N}{N-1}A_{-}, B^{-,1}\right) I_N^{(\beta)}(\text{diag}(\alpha_1, 0, \dots, 0), B_N) \leq I_N^{(\beta)}(A_N, B_N) \\ \leq I_N^{(\beta)}(\text{diag}(\alpha_1, 0, \dots, 0), B_N) I_{N-1}^{(\beta)}\left(\frac{N}{N-1}A_{-}, B^{+,1}\right).$$

A recursive application of bound (9) yields

$$(10) \quad \prod_{i=1}^{M(N)} I_{N+1-i}^{(\beta)}\left(\frac{N}{N+1-i} \text{diag}(\alpha_i, 0, \dots, 0), B^{-,i}\right) \leq I_N(A, B) \\ \leq \prod_{i=1}^{M(N)} I_{N+1-i}^{(\beta)}\left(\frac{N}{N+1-i} \text{diag}(\alpha_i, 0, \dots, 0), B^{+,i}\right).$$

Inequality (10) and change of formula or Equation (4) and Theorem 4 imply Theorem 5 under Hypothesis 6.

Theorem 5 is proved in full generality without hypothesis 6 by the continuity property of the limit $f_{\mu}^{(\beta)}$ stated after its definition.

□

3. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In comparison to [GM05], our method uses much weaker assumptions on the rank and norm of the matrices. Better, it allows us to state a continuity result about the limit of IZ in a new scaling and thus validate an “inversion of limit” phenomenon.

Last, it shows that Hypothesis 3 is a relevant one to perform computations outside the phase transition zone. This is a substantial improvement to the paper [GM05] in which nothing was proved at “high temperature” for the finite scaling of rank $M > 1$.

Unfortunately, our approach heavily relies on real-number inequalities and we believe that finer estimates, as well as complex valued estimates of [GM05] can not be established with our methods.

Acknowledgements: The authors benefited from an excellent working atmosphere in Banff free probability meeting in October 2004. They also thank Alice Guionnet for stimulating discussions.

The research of P. Ś. was supported by State Committee for Scientific Research (KBN) grant 2 P03A 007 23, RTN network: QP-Applications contract No. HPRN-CT-2002-00279, and KBN-DAAD project 36/2003/2004. This author is a holder of a scholarship of European Post-Doctoral Institute for Mathematical Sciences.

The research of B.C. was partly supported by a JSPS postdoctoral fellowship and by RIMS.

REFERENCES

- [Col03] Benoît Collins. Moments and cumulants of polynomial random variables on unitary groups, the Itzykson-Zuber integral, and free probability. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (17):953–982, 2003.
- [CS04] Benoît Collins and Piotr Śniady. Integration with respect to the Haar measure on unitary, orthogonal and symplectic groups. Preprint arXiv:math-ph/0402073, 2004.
- [GM05] Alice Guionnet and Mylène Maïda. A Fourier view on the R-transform and related asymptotics of spherical integrals. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 222(2):435–490, 2005.
- [GZ02] Alice Guionnet and Ofer Zeitouni. Large deviations asymptotics for spherical integrals. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 188(2):461–515, 2002.

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN

E-mail address: collins@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WROCLAW,
PL. GRUNWALDZKI 2/4, 50-384 WROCLAW, POLAND

E-mail address: Piotr.Sniady@math.uni.wroc.pl